RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE **EXAMINING GROUP 3634**

\$/N 09/484,344

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

CHAUVIN

Examiner:

STRIMBU

Serial No .:

09/484,344

Group Art Unit:

3634

Filed:

JANUARY 18, 2000

Docket No.:

9320.95US01

Title:

MANUFACTURING PROCESS FOR AN AUTOMOBILE VEHICLE

DOOR, AND THE CORRESPONDING DOOR

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Cohen (fax no. 703-872-9327) on June 4

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Box AF Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

No interconsideration. Applicant would like to thank SPE Stodola for his time in discussing this case here! Lexi. in a telephone call on June 5, 2002 with Applicant. in a telephone call on June 5, 2002 with Applicant's representative, James A. Larson. SPE Stodola suggested that this paper be filed requesting that the requirement that all amendments be submitted in one paper be reconsidered.

> Paragraphs 3 and 6 of the Advisory Action imply that the sole reason for denying entry of the Amendment and Response filed April 16, 2002 was that the amendments from the Amendment and Response filed on March 26, 2002 were not included with the April 16 Amendment and Response.

gave options on

JUN-06-02 04:14PM FROM-Merchant & Gould 2

6123329081

T-105 P.002/003 F-093

S/N 09/484,344

PATENT

As explained on page 3 of the April 16 Amendment and Response, that response was to be considered together with the March 26 Amendment and Response. As further explained on page 3, a telephone conference was conducted with Examiner Cohen on April 16, in which the Examiner indicated that the addition of the proposed additional drawing would be accepted, provided that there was support for the drawing and it contained no new matter. This discussion with Examiner Cohen was based on the changes being proposed in the March 26 Amendment and Response.

Applicant respectfully submits that the March 26 and April 16 Amendments and Responses resolve all outstanding issues in this case and place this case into condition for allowance. Applicant requests that the March 26 and April 16 Amendments and Responses both be entered, and that this application be allowed.

If any issues remain which the Examiner feels may be resolved over the telephone, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned in order to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 612/332-5300

Date: June 6, 2002

Reg. No. 33,112

IIG/IAI

Merchant & Gould

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

FAX RECEIVED

JUN 0 6 2002

3200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2215 USA TEL 612.332.5300 FAX 612,332,9081 www.merchantgould.com

GROUP 3600

A Professional Corporation

Fax Transmission | June 6, 2002

AFTER FINAL SUBMISSION

TO:

BOX AF

FROM:

John J. Gresens

Commissioner for Patents

Attn: Examiner STRIMBU Patent Examining Corps

Facsimile Center

Washington, D.C. 20231

OUR REF:

9320.95US01

TELEPHONE:

612.371.5265

Total pages, including cover letter: 3

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703.872.9327

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 612.371.5265, or fax us at 612.332.9081.

Title of Documents Transmitted:

Request for Reconsideration

Applicant:

Serial No.:

CHAUVIN 09/484,344

Filed:

January 18, 2000

Group Art Unit:

3634.

Our Ref. No.:

9320.95US01

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

6/6/02 Date

GEN033,DOT